The International Library of Critical Writings in Economics

Founding Editor: Mark Blaug

Late Professor Emeritus, University of London, UK Late Professor Emeritus, University of Buckingham, UK

This series is an essential reference source for students, researchers and lecturers in economics. It presents by theme a selection of the most important articles across the entire spectrum of economics. Each volume has been prepared by a leading specialist who has written an authoritative introduction to the literature included.

Wherever possible, the articles in these volumes have been reproduced as originally published using facsimile reproduction, inclusive of footnotes and pagination to facilitate ease of reference.

For a full list of published and future titles in this series and a list of all Edward Elgar published titles visit our website at www.e-elgar.com

Economic Analyses of Social Networks Volume I: Theory

Edited by

Matthew O. Jackson

William D. Eberle Professor of Economics Stanford University, External Faculty Member Santa Fe Institute, USA and Member of CIFAR, Canada

and

Yves Zenou

Professor of Economics Stockholm University, Sweden

THE INTERNATIONAL LIBRARY OF CRITICAL WRITINGS IN ECONOMICS

An Elgar Research Collection

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

Contents

Acknowledg Introduction		atts atthew O. Jackson and Yves Zenou	ix xi
PART I	МО	DELS OF NETWORK FORMATION	
	1.	Robert J. Aumann and Roger B. Myerson (1988), 'Endogenous Formation of Links Between Players and of Coalitions: An Application of the Shapley Value', in Alvin E. Roth (ed), <i>The Shapley Value</i> , Chapter 12, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 175–91	3
	2.	Matthew O. Jackson and Asher Wolinsky (1996), 'A Strategic Model of Social and Economic Networks', <i>Journal of Economic</i>	
	3.	Theory, 71 (1), October, 44–74 Bhaskar Dutta and Suresh Mutuswami (1997), 'Stable Networks', Lower of Francisco Theory, 76 (2), October, 222, 44	20 51
	4.	Journal of Economic Theory, 76 (2), October, 322–44 Venkatesh Bala and Sanjeev Goyal (2000), 'A Noncooperative Model of Network Formation', <i>Econometrica</i> , 68 (5), September,	31
	5.	1181–229 William A. Brock and Steven N. Durlauf (2001), 'Discrete Choice with Social Interactions', <i>Review of Economic Studies</i> , 68 (2),	74
	6.	April, 235–60 Matthew O. Jackson and Alison Watts (2002a), 'The Evolution of Social and Economic Networks', <i>Journal of Economic Theory</i> ,	123
	7.	106 (2), October, 265–95 Matthew O. Jackson and Alison Watts (2002b), 'On the Formation of Interaction Networks in Social Coordination Games', <i>Games and Economic Behavior</i> , 41 (2), November, 265–91	149 180
	8.	Bhaskar Dutta, Sayantan Ghosal and Debraj Ray (2005), 'Farsighted Network Formation', <i>Journal of Economic Theory</i> , 122 (2), June, 143–64	207
	9.	Frank H. Page Jr., Myrna H. Wooders and Samir Kamat (2005), 'Networks and Farsighted Stability', <i>Journal of Economic Theory</i> ,	
	10.	120 (2), February, 257–69 Matthew O. Jackson and Brian W. Rogers (2007), 'Meeting Strangers and Friends of Friends: How Random are Social	229
	11.	Networks?', <i>American Economic Review</i> , 97 (3), June, 890–915 Sergio Currarini, Matthew O. Jackson and Paolo Pin (2009), 'An Economic Model of Friendship: Homophily, Minorities and	242
		Segregation', Econometrica, 77 (4), July, 1003–45	268

PART II	MO	DELS OF GAMES AND BEHAVIOR ON NETWORKS	
	12.	Robert B. Myerson (1977), 'Graphs and Cooperation in Games',	
		Mathematics of Operations Research, 2 (3), August, 225–9	313
	13.	Rohit Parikh and Paul Krasucki (1990), 'Communication,	
		Consensus and Knowledge', Journal of Economic Theory, 52 (1),	
		October, 178–89	318
	14.	Venkatesh Bala and Sanjeev Goyal (1998), 'Learning from	
		Neighbours', Review of Economic Studies, 65 (3), July, 595–621	330
	15.	Stephen Morris (2000), 'Contagion', Review of Economic Studies,	
		67 (1), January, 57–78	357
	16.	Michael Suk-Young Chwe (2000), 'Communication and	
		Coordination in Social Networks', Review of Economic Studies,	
		67 (1), January, 1–16.	379
	17.	Peter M. DeMarzo, Dimitri Vayanos and Jeffrey Zwiebel (2003),	
		'Persuasion Bias, Social Influence, and Unidimensional Opinions',	
		Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118 (3), August, 909-68	395
	18.	Margarida Corominas-Bosch (2004), 'Bargaining in a Network of	
		Buyers and Sellers', Journal of Economic Theory, 115 (1), March,	
		35–77	455
	19.	Coralio Ballester, Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2006),	
		'Who's Who in Networks. Wanted: The Key Player', Econometrica,	
		74 (5), September, 1403–17	498
	20.	Yann Bramoullé and Rachel Kranton (2007), 'Public Goods in	
		Networks', Journal of Economic Theory, 135 (1), July, 478-94	513
	21.	Matthew O. Jackson and Leeat Yariv (2007), 'Diffusion of Behavior	
		and Equilibrium Properties in Network Games', American	
		Economic Review, 97 (2), May, 92–8	530
	22.	Dunia López-Pintado (2008), 'Diffusion in Complex Social	
		Networks', Games and Economic Behavior, 62 (2), March, 573–90	537
	23.	Andrea Galeotti, Sanjeev Goyal, Matthew O. Jackson, Fernando	
		Vega-Redondo and Leeat Yariv (2010), 'Network Games', Review	
		of Economic Studies, 77 (1), January, 218–44	555
	24.	Benjamin Golub and Matthew O. Jackson (2010), 'Naïve Learning	
		in Social Networks and the Wisdom of Crowds', American	
		Economic Journal: Microeconomics, 2 (1), February, 112–49	582
	25.	Andrea Galeotti and Sanjeev Goyal (2010), 'The Law of the Few',	
		American Economic Review, 100 (4), September, 1468–92	620
	26.	Antonio Cabrales, Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2011),	
		'Social Interactions and Spillovers', Games and Economic	
		Behavior, 72 (2), June, 339–60	645
	27.	Daron Acemoglu, Munther A. Dahleh, Ilan Lobel and Asuman	
		Ozdaglar (2011), 'Bayesian Learning in Social Networks', Review	
		of Economic Studies, 78 (4), 1201–36	667

Acknowledgements

The editors and publishers wish to thank the authors and the following publishers who have kindly given permission for the use of copyright material.

American Economic Association for articles: Matthew O. Jackson and Leeat Yariv (2007), 'Diffusion of Behavior and Equilibrium Properties in Network Games', *American Economic Review*, **97** (2), May, 92–8; Matthew O. Jackson and Brian W. Rogers (2007), 'Meeting Strangers and Friends of Friends: How Random are Social Networks?', *American Economic Review*, **97** (3), June, 890–915; Benjamin Golub and Matthew O. Jackson (2010), 'Naïve Learning in Social Networks and the Wisdom of Crowds', *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, **2** (1), February, 112–49; Andrea Galeotti and Sanjeev Goyal (2010), 'The Law of the Few', *American Economic Review*, **100** (4), September, 1468–92.

Cambridge University Press for excerpt: Robert J. Aumann and Roger B. Myerson (1988), 'Endogenous Formation of Links Between Players and of Coalitions: An Application of the Shapley Value', in Alvin E. Roth (ed), *The Shapley Value*, Chapter 12, Cambridge University Press, 175–91.

Econometric Society for articles: Venkatesh Bala and Sanjeev Goyal (2000), 'A Noncooperative Model of Network Formation', *Econometrica*, **68** (5), September, 1181–229; Coralio Ballester, Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2006), 'Who's Who in Networks. Wanted: The Key Player', *Econometrica*, **74** (5), September, 1403–17; Sergio Currarini, Matthew O. Jackson and Paolo Pin (2009), 'An Economic Model of Friendship: Homophily, Minorities and Segregation', *Econometrica*, **77** (4), July, 1003–45.

Elsevier for articles: Rohit Parikh and Paul Krasucki (1990), 'Communication, Consensus and Knowledge', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **52** (1), October, 178–89; Matthew O. Jackson and Asher Wolinsky (1996), 'A Strategic Model of Social and Economic Networks', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **71** (1), October, 44–74; Bhaskar Dutta and Suresh Mutuswami (1997), 'Stable Networks', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **76** (2), 322–44; Matthew O. Jackson and Alison Watts (2002a), 'The Evolution of Social and Economic Networks', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **106** (2), October, 265–95; Matthew O. Jackson and Alison Watts (2002b), 'On the Formation of Interaction Networks in Social Coordination Games', *Games and Economic Behavior*, **41** (2), November, 265–91; Margarida Corominas-Bosch (2004), 'Bargaining in a Network of Buyers and Sellers', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **115** (1), March, 35–77; Bhaskar Dutta, Sayantan Ghosal and Debraj Ray (2005), 'Farsighted Network Formation', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **122** (2), June, 143–64; Lung-fei Lee (2007), 'Identification and Estimation of Econometric Models with Group Interactions, Contextual Factors and Fixed Effects', *Journal of Econometrics*, **140** (2), October, 333–74; Yann Bramoullé and Rachel Kranton (2007),

'Public Goods in Networks', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **135** (1), July, 478–94; Dunia López-Pintado (2008), 'Diffusion in Complex Social Networks', *Games and Economic Behavior*, **62** (2), March, 573–90; Yaan Bramoullé, Habiba Djebbari and Bernard Fortin (2009), 'Identification of Peer Effects Through Social Networks', *Journal of Econometrics*, **150** (1), May, 41–55; Antonio Cabrales, Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2011), 'Social Interactions and Spillovers', *Games and Economic Behavior*, **72** (2), June, 339–60; Syngjoo Choi, Douglas Gale, Shachar Kariv and Thomas Palfrey (2011), 'Network Architecture, Salience and Coordination', *Games and Economic Behavior*, **73** (1), September, 76–90; Frank H. Page Jr., Myrna H. Wooders and Samir Kamat (2005), 'Networks and Farsighted Stability', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **120** (2), February, 257–69.

INFORMS for article: Robert B. Myerson (1977), 'Graphs and Cooperation in Games', *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **2** (3), August, 225–9.

Oxford University Press for articles: Charles F. Manski (1993), 'Identification of Endogenous Social Effects: The Reflection Problem', *Review of Economic Studies*, **60** (3), July, 531–42; Venkatesh Bala and Sanjeev Goyal (1998), 'Learning from Neighbours', *Review of Economic Studies*, **65** (3), July, 595–621; Michael Suk-Young Chwe (2000), 'Communication and Coordination in Social Networks', *Review of Economic Studies*, **67** (1), January, 1–16; Stephen Morris (2000), 'Contagion', *Review of Economic Studies*, **67** (1), January, 57–78; William A. Brock and Steven N. Durlauf (2001), 'Discrete Choice with Social Interactions', *Review of Economic Studies*, **68** (2), April, 235–60; Peter M. DeMarzo, Dimitri Vayanos and Jeffrey Zwiebel (2003), 'Persuasion Bias, Social Influence, and Unidimensional Opinions', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **118** (3), August, 909–68; Andrea Galeotti, Sanjeev Goyal, Matthew O. Jackson, Fernando Vega-Redondo and Leeat Yariv (2010), 'Network Games', *Review of Economic Studies*, **77** (1), January, 218–44; Jeanne Hagenbach and Frédéric Koessler (2010), 'Strategic Communication Networks', *Review of Economic Studies*, **77** (3), 1072–99; Daron Acemoglu, Munther A. Dahleh, Ilan Lobel and Asuman Ozdaglar (2011), 'Bayesian Learning in Social Networks', *Review of Economic Studies*, **78** (4), 1201–36.

Every effort has been made to trace all the copyright holders but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publishers will be pleased to make the necessary arrangement at the first opportunity.

In addition the publishers wish to thank the Library of Indiana University at Bloomington, USA for their assistance in obtaining these articles.

Economic Analyses of Social Networks Volume II: Applications

Edited by

Matthew O. Jackson

William D. Eberle Professor of Economics Stanford University, External Faculty Member Santa Fe Institute, USA and Member of CIFAR, Canada

and

Yves Zenou

Professor of Economics Stockholm University, Sweden

THE INTERNATIONAL LIBRARY OF CRITICAL WRITINGS IN ECONOMICS

An Elgar Research Collection

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

Contents

Acknowled An Introdu	-	oy the editors appears in volume I	ix
PART I	LAF	BOUR AND EDUCATION	
	1.	Mark S. Granovetter (1973), 'The Strength of Weak Ties',	
		American Journal of Sociology, 78 (6), May, 1360–80	3
	2.	Scott A. Boorman (1975), 'A Combinatorial Optimization Model	
		for Transmission of Job Information Through Contact Networks',	
		Bell Journal of Economics, 6 (1), Spring, 216–49	24
	3.	James D. Montgomery (1991), 'Social Networks and Labor-Market	
		Outcomes: Toward an Economic Analysis', American Economic	
		Review, 81 (5), December, 1408–18	58
	4.	Giorgio Topa (2001), 'Social Interactions, Local Spillovers and	
		Unemployment', Review of Economic Studies, 68 (2), April, 261–95	69
	5.	Antoni Calvó-Armengol (2004), 'Job Contact Networks', Journal	
		of Economic Theory, 115 (1), March, 191–206	104
	6.	Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Matthew O. Jackson (2004), 'The	
		Effects of Social Networks on Employment and Inequality',	
		American Economic Review, 94 (3), June, 426–54	120
	7.	Yannis M. Ioannides and Linda Datcher Loury (2004), 'Job	
		Information Networks, Neighborhood Effects, and Inequality',	
		Journal of Economic Literature, XLII (4), December, 1056–93	149
	8.	Patrick Bayer, Stephen L. Ross and Giorgio Topa (2008), 'Place of	
		Work and Place of Residence: Informal Hiring Networks and Labor	
		Market Outcomes', Journal of Political Economy, 116 (6),	
		December, 1150–96	187
	9.	Antoni Calvó-Armengol, Eleonora Patacchini and Yves Zenou	
		(2009), 'Peer Effects and Social Networks in Education', Review of	
		Economic Studies, 76 (4), 1239–67	234
PART II	DEV	VELOPMENT ECONOMICS	
	10.	Kaivan Munshi (2003), 'Networks in the Modern Economy:	
		Mexican Migrants in the U.S. Labor Market', Quarterly Journal of	
		Economics, 118 (2), May, 549–99	265
	11.	Jackline Wahba and Yves Zenou (2005), 'Density, Social Networks	
		and Job Search Methods: Theory and Application to Egypt',	
		Journal of Development Economics, 78 (2), December, 443–73	316

	12. 13.	Oriana Bandiera and Imran Rasul (2006), 'Social Networks and Technology Adoption in Northern Mozambique', <i>Economic Journal</i> , 116 (514), October, 869–902 Marcel Fafchamps and Susan Lund (2003), 'Risk-sharing Networks in Rural Philippines', <i>Journal of Development Economics</i> , 71 (2), August, 261–87	347 381	
PART III	CRI	IME		
171111	14.	Edward L. Glaeser, Bruce Sacerdote and José A. Scheinkman		
		(1996), 'Crime and Social Interactions', <i>Quarterly Journal of Economics</i> , 111 (2), May, 507–48	411	
	15.	Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2004), 'Social Networks		
		and Crime Decisions: The Role of Social Structure in Facilitating Delinquent Behavior', <i>International Economic Review</i> , 45 (3),		
		August, 939–58	453	
	16.	Coralio Ballester, Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2010),		
		'Delinquent Networks', <i>Journal of the European Economic Association</i> , 8 (1), January, 34–61	473	
	17.	Eleonora Patacchini and Yves Zenou (2012), 'Juvenile Delinquency		
		and Conformism', <i>Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization</i> , 28 (1), 1–31	501	
		26 (1), 1–31	301	
PART IV		OUSTRIAL ORGANIZATION		
	18.	Rachel E. Kranton and Deborah F. Minehart (2001), 'A Theory of Buyer-Seller Networks', <i>American Economic Review</i> , 91 (3), June, 485–508	535	
	19.	Sanjeev Goyal and Sumit Joshi (2003), 'Networks of Collaboration	333	
		in Oligopoly', Games and Economic Behavior, 43 (1), April, 57-85	559	
	20.	Sanjeev Goyal and José Luis Moraga-González (2001), 'R&D Networks', <i>Rand Journal of Economics</i> , 32 (4), Winter, 686–707	588	
	21.	Brian Uzzi (1996), 'The Sources and Consequences of	200	
		Embeddedness for the Economic Performance of Organizations:		
		The Network Effect', American Sociological Review, 61 (4),	(10	
		August, 674–98	610	
PART V	EXPERIMENTS			
	22.	Gary Charness, Margarida Corominas-Bosch and Guillaume R.		
		Fréchette (2007), 'Bargaining and Network Structure: An Experiment', <i>Journal of Economic Theory</i> , 136 (1), September,		
		28–65	637	
	23.	Dean Karlan, Markus Mobius, Tanya Rosenblat and Adam Szeidl		
	23.	Dean Karlan, Markus Mobius, Tanya Rosenblat and Adam Szeidl (2009), 'Trust and Social Collateral', <i>Quarterly Journal of Economics</i> , 124 (3), August, 1307–61	675	

	24.25.	Jacob K. Goeree, Arno Riedl and Aljaž Ule (2009), 'In Search of Stars: Network Formation Among Heterogeneous Agents', <i>Games and Economic Behavior</i> , 67 (2), November, 445–66 Jacob K. Goeree, Margeret A. McConnell, Tiffany Mitchell, Tracey Tromp and Leeat Yariv (2010), 'The 1/d Law of Giving', <i>American Economic Journal: Microeconomics</i> , 2 (1), February, 183–203	730 752
PART VI	OTF	HER APPLICATIONS	
	26.	James E. Rauch (1999), 'Networks Versus Markets in International	
		Trade', Journal of International Economics, 48 (1), June, 7–35	775
	27.	Franklin Allen and Douglas Gale (2000), 'Financial Contagion',	
		Journal of Political Economy, 108 (1), February, 1–33	804
	28.	Federico Echenique and Roland G. Fryer, Jr. (2007), 'A Measure of	
		Segregation Based on Social Interactions', Quarterly Journal of	
		Economics, CXXII (2), May, 441–85	837

Acknowledgements

The editors and publishers wish to thank the authors and the following publishers who have kindly given permission for the use of copyright material.

American Economic Association for articles: James D. Montgomery (1991), 'Social Networks and Labor-Market Outcomes: Toward an Economic Analysis', *American Economic Review*, **81** (5), December, 1408–18; Rachel E. Kranton and Deborah F. Minehart (2001), 'A Theory of Buyer-Seller Networks', *American Economic Review*, **91** (3), June, 485–508; Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Matthew O. Jackson (2004), 'The Effects of Social Networks on Employment and Inequality', *American Economic Review*, **94** (3), June, 426–54; Yannis M. Ioannides and Linda Datcher Loury (2004), 'Job Information Networks, Neighborhood Effects, and Inequality', *Journal of Economic Literature*, **XLII** (4), December, 1056–93; Jacob K. Goeree, Margeret A. McConnell, Tiffany Mitchell, Tracey Tromp and Leeat Yariv (2010), 'The 1/d Law of Giving', *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, **2** (1), February, 183–203.

American Sociological Association for article: Brian Uzzi (1996), 'The Sources and Consequences of Embeddedness for the Economic Performance of Organizations: The Network Effect', *American Sociological Review*, **61** (4), August, 674–98.

Blackwell Publishing Ltd for articles: Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2004), 'Social Networks and Crime Decisions: The Role of Social Structure in Facilitating Delinquent Behavior', *International Economic Review*, **45** (3), August, 939–58; Oriana Bandiera and Imran Rasul (2006), 'Social Networks and Technology Adoption in Northern Mozambique', *Economic Journal*, **116** (514), October, 869–902; Coralio Ballester, Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou (2010), 'Delinquent Networks', *Journal of the European Economic Association*, **8** (1), January, 34–61.

Elsevier for articles: James E. Rauch (1999), 'Networks Versus Markets in International Trade', *Journal of International Economics*, **48** (1), June, 7–35; Sanjeev Goyal and Sumit Joshi (2003), 'Networks of Collaboration in Oligopoly', *Games and Economic Behavior*, **43** (1), April, 57–85; Marcel Fafchamps and Susan Lund (2003), 'Risk-sharing Networks in Rural Philippines', *Journal of Development Economics*, **71** (2), August, 261–87; Antoni Calvó-Armengol (2004), 'Job Contact Networks', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **115** (1), March, 191–206; Jackline Wahba and Yves Zenou (2005), 'Density, Social Networks and Job Search Methods: Theory and Application to Egypt', *Journal of Development Economics*, **78** (2), December, 443–73; Gary Charness, Margarida Corominas-Bosch and Guillaume R. Fréchette (2007), 'Bargaining and Network Structure: An Experiment', *Journal of Economic Theory*, **136** (1), September, 28–65; Jacob K. Goeree, Arno Riedl and Aljaž Ule (2009), 'In Search of

Stars: Network Formation Among Heterogeneous Agents', *Games and Economic Behavior*, **67** (2), November, 445–66.

Oxford University Press for articles: Edward L. Glaeser, Bruce Sacerdote and José A. Scheinkman (1996), 'Crime and Social Interactions', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **111** (2), May, 507–48; Giorgio Topa (2001), 'Social Interactions, Local Spillovers and Unemployment', *Review of Economic Studies*, **68** (2), April, 261–95; Kaivan Munshi (2003), 'Networks in the Modern Economy: Mexican Migrants in the U.S. Labor Market', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **118** (2), May, 549–99; Federico Echenique and Roland G. Fryer, Jr. (2007), 'A Measure of Segregation Based on Social Interactions', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **CXXII** (2), May, 441–85; Dean Karlan, Markus Mobius, Tanya Rosenblat and Adam Szeidl (2009), 'Trust and Social Collateral', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **124** (3), August, 1307–61; Antoni Calvó-Armengol, Eleonora Patacchini and Yves Zenou (2009), 'Peer Effects and Social Networks in Education', *Review of Economic Studies*, **76** (4), 1239–67; Eleonora Patacchini and Yves Zenou (2012), 'Juvenile Delinquency and Conformism', *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, **28** (1), 1–31.

RAND Journal of Economics for articles: Scott A. Boorman (1975), 'A Combinatorial Optimization Model for Transmission of Job Information Through Contact Networks', *Bell Journal of Economics*, **6** (1), Spring, 216–49; Sanjeev Goyal and José Luis Moraga-González (2001), 'R&D Networks', *Rand Journal of Economics*, **32** (4), Winter, 686–707.

University of Chicago Press for articles: Mark S. Granovetter (1973), 'The Strength of Weak Ties', *American Journal of Sociology*, **78** (6), May, 1360–80; Franklin Allen and Douglas Gale (2000), 'Financial Contagion', *Journal of Political Economy*, **108** (1), February, 1–33; Patrick Bayer, Stephen L. Ross and Giorgio Topa (2008), 'Place of Work and Place of Residence: Informal Hiring Networks and Labor Market Outcomes', *Journal of Political Economy*, **116** (6), December, 1150–96.

Every effort has been made to trace all the copyright holders but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publishers will be pleased to make the necessary arrangement at the first opportunity.

In addition the publishers wish to thank the Library of Indiana University at Bloomington, USA for their assistance in obtaining these articles.

Introduction

Economic Analyses of Social Networks*

Matthew O. Jackson[†] and Yves Zenous[‡]

Economists have long been aware that social networks play a significant role in determining economic outcomes; yet only in the past two decades has substantial effort been devoted to understanding that role. Social networks are indeed important in numerous facets of our lives. For example, the decisions by individuals of whether to buy a new product, attend a meeting, commit a crime, or choose a certain career are often influenced by friends and acquaintances. Also, information about jobs can be obtained through direct and indirect acquaintances through word-of-mouth communication. The network structure of those interactions can impact the efficiency of a labor market as well as the incentives that individuals have to invest in obtaining education and job-related skills. The spread of diseases, such as AIDS, also strongly depends on the geometry of social contacts. Similarly, the structure of networks, especially their density, has a strong impact on criminal activities. Criminals learn from each other, and networks of criminals or gangs can amplify delinquent behaviors. In education, a positive correlation has been found between connectedness (as measured by friendship ties in school) and years of schooling attained as well as the probability of having attended college. In a very different application, a firm's embeddedness in a network of interfirm relations impacts its innovation and performance. The voluminous empirical evidence on a wide array of such issues motivates the theoretical analysis of networks.

In these two volumes, we have collected some of the fundamental economic analyses of social networks. This literature has grown rapidly over the past two decades and having such volumes that collect many of the essential articles on the subject should be helpful to researchers in the area. Of course, in any such endeavor there are difficult decisions that need to be made as to what to include. Analysis of social and economic networks involves many fields and bodies of literature, some of which have been around for more than a century, and some of which blossomed more recently. Given the enormity of the literature on the subject, we could not hope to represent all fields, and so we have mainly focused on the study that has grown out of economics. Not only does economics have numerous important applications that require an understanding of networks, it also brings new perspectives to the analysis of networks in terms of questions of efficiency and welfare, as well as techniques emanating from game theory.

Even with such a field focus, we needed two volumes to collect some of the important papers on the subject. We have grouped the papers by subfield, mainly by the approaches and methodologies they embody.

Volume I begins with the first papers on the subject, ordered chronologically, which involve examining network formation from a strategic perspective: people (or other agents such as firms, countries, etc.) choose with whom they interact. These papers brought a new approach and toolbox to bear on the study of network formation. People clearly exercise discretion in which friends they see, with whom they collaborate, which papers they cite, and who they emulate. Moreover, when moving to more purely political and economic arenas, such as alliances between countries, collaborations between firms, selection of trading partners, and so forth, not only are that choices are made, but that often substantial effort goes into making those choices. Although this perspective was not absent from the sociological literature, formal modeling and study of choice-based network formation had not appeared before. The first paper in the first volume, by Aumann and Myerson (1988, Chapter 1, Volume I), provided a model of multilateral bargaining that allowed the communication structure between individuals to be endogenous. Although it was narrowly focused and not about network formation per se, it was the first paper that used game theoretic techniques to derive an endogenous network structure.² The first general formulation of a strategic network formation model is in Jackson and Wolinsky (1996, Chapter 2, Volume I), who posited that individuals derive payoffs that depend on the network structure in the society. They also provided a basic equilibrium concept for predicting which networks would form, which they called 'pairwise stability,' and allowed the investigation of which networks individuals would tend to form based on their own interests, in contrast to which networks are optimal from society's perspective. The modeling of network formation based on individual payoffs allows explicit welfare comparisons that were missing in the previous literature, and is perhaps one of the more important aspects that the economic perspective brought to network analysis. Although, given the externalities present in most network settings of interest, it is not surprising that individual incentives may lead to inefficient network formation. Nonetheless, it is vital to understand the circumstances under which efficiency is precluded and what might be done to ameliorate such situations. The literature that followed (including the next two papers in the first volume, Dutta and Mutuswami (1997, Chapter 3, Volume I) and Bala and Goyal (2000, Chapter 4, Volume I); as well as many not included here) continued the exploration begun by Jackson and Wolinsky of strategic network formation and the extent to which emerging networks will be efficient in different settings. The first part of Volume I then continues to include papers that explored a variety of issues surrounding strategic network formation including dynamics (Brock and Darlauf (2001, Chapter 5, Volume I), Jackson and Watts (2002a, Chapter 6; 2000b, Chapter 7, Volume I), Dutta, Ghosal, and Ray (2005, Chapter 8, Volume I), Page and Wooders (2005, Chapter 9, Volume I), and coalitional considerations. These papers began a critical and fruitful bridging of the economics and sociology literatures that had little intersection before, along with other papers that followed such as Goyal and Vega-Redondo (2005) and Jackson and van den Nouweland (2005).

Interestingly, network modeling followed two largely independent streams for some time: that based on random network modeling and that based on game theoretic techniques. Clearly, network formation involves larger structural forces (tendencies towards closed groups) and random meeting that may depend on structure, as well as explicit discretion. Capturing many features of observed networks involves developing models that incorporate both, a literature that has been growing recently. The last two papers in the first part of the first volume (Jackson and Rogers (2007, Chapter 10, Volume I) and Currarini, Jackson, and Pin (2009, Chapter 11, Volume I)) move in that direction.

The second part of the first volume examines a more recent branch of the literature that ignores network formation, but is instead concerned with how network structure influences individual behaviors. In particular, it concerns behaviors of individuals who are connected in a network and who are influenced by the choices and opinions of their neighbors. This is modeled by what are sometimes referred to as 'games on networks' or 'network games.' The theory of 'games on networks' considers a game with n agents (that can be individuals, firms, regions, countries, etc.) who are embedded in a network and are choosing actions (e.g., buying products, choosing levels of education, engaging in criminal activities, investing in Research and Development (R&D), etc.). Agents choose actions to maximize their payoffs, given how they expect others in their network to behave. Thus, agents implicitly take into account interdependencies generated by the social network structure. Game theory is a natural tool with which to understand such interactions and resulting behaviors. These papers make new predictions about how human behavior relates to the patterns through which people interact: when will people adopt a new technology, how will they decide whether to become criminals, how much education will they pursue, how do they bargain, and how do these choices interact with and depend on the behaviors of their friends as well as their position in the network? The papers in this part of the volume include early ones on networked bargaining and trade (Myerson (1977, Chapter 12, Volume I), Corominas-Bosch (2004, Chapter 18, Volume I), and also Uzzi (1996, Chapter 21, Volume II) and Kranton and Minehart (2001, Chapter 18, Volume II) which appear in the second volume), learning and diffusion (Parikh and Krasucki (1990, Chapter 13, Volume I), Bala and Goyal (1998, Chapter 14, Volume I), Chwe (2000, Chapter 16, Volume I), DeMarzo, Vayanos, and Zwiebel (2003, Chapter 17, Volume I), López-Pintado (2008, Chapter 22, Volume I), Golub and Jackson (2010, Chapter 24, Volume I), Acemoglu et al. (2011, Chapter 27, Volume I), Choi et al. (2011, Chapter 28, Volume I), and Hagenbach and Koessler (2010, Chapter 29, Volume I)), as well as basic modeling of games on networks (Morris (2000, Chapter 15, Volume I), Ballester, Calvó-Armengol, and Zenou (2006, Chapter 19, Volume I), Bramoullé and Kranton (2007, Chapter 20, Volume I), Jackson and Yariv (2007, Chapter 21, Volume I), Galeotti et al. (2010, Chapter 23, Volume I), Galeotti and Goyal (2010, Chapter 23, Volume I), Cabrales, Calvó-Armengol, and Zenou (2011, Chapter 26, Volume I)).

The third part of the first volume includes a few important papers on the econometrics of network analysis, partly motivated by the strategic interactions in the earlier sections. For example, situations in which people may care about the behaviors of their friends and acquaintances present substantial challenges in identifying interactive effects and sorting them out precisely because the network itself is a choice variable. Do people behave similarly to their friends because their friends influence them or simply because they are similar to their friends and may even choose to be friends with others who behave similarly? Indeed, an obstacle to empirically identifying peer and social network effects is an important issue identified by Manski (1993, Chapter 30, Volume I) which he called the reflection problem: absent further assumptions on the nature of peer influence, it would be impossible to distinguish the causal impact of peers' behavior on an individual's behavior from the causal impact of peers' background characteristics on an individual's behavior. Furthermore, there may also be unobserved factors that affect who interacts with whom as well as how they behave, and so it can be difficult to disentangle the peer effects from the correlated effects, for example, effects arising from the fact that individuals who are linked to each other tend to behave similarly because they face a common environment or have similar characteristics. Consider, for example, a child's decision to initiate drug use. Is it because his/her next-door neighbor's child initiated drug use? Or is it due to the fact that some peer background characteristic, such as a substance-abusing parent, caused both children to adopt the same behavior? Or is it because they live in a neighborhood with special characteristics? The distinction between these explanations is important for policy purposes. When true contagion effects operate, intervening to alter one child's behavior may affect several others' behaviors. Absent such peer effects, but when children initiate substance use because adults in their household provide opportunities to do so, these multiplier effects would not exist. Correctly distinguishing endogenous from exogenous social effects is thus important in accurately gauging the benefits of interventions. The Lee (2007, Chapter 31, Volume I) and Bramoullé, Djebbari, and Fortin (2009, Chapter 32, Volume I) papers make advances in identifying peer effects and are just part of an emerging literature.³

The second volume turns to applied theory as well as empirical and experimental analyses. These are grouped mainly by specific domain of application. The papers that we have collected in the second volume are representative of the more recent literature that has drawn on some of the theory for its analysis. We omit some early classics (e.g., early papers on the role of social networks in transmission of job information) that were more descriptive in nature. The domains of application in the second volume are varied and represent the rich set of areas where social networks are instrumental in shaping behavior and where the literature is illuminating that relationship.

The first part of the second volume focuses on applications to labor and education. There is plenty of evidence that social networks play an important role in labor markets. Social contacts are important conduits of information about job opportunities and help connect workers and employers. In a very influential study, Granovetter (1973, Chapter 1, Volume II) and Granovetter (1974)) interviewed people in a suburb of Boston and analyzed how they found their current jobs. He found that a large fraction of the workers (roughly 50 percent) had found their jobs through personal contacts. He also emphasized the difference between strong ties (with a high frequency of interactions) and weak ties (with a low frequency of interactions), noting that weak ties played a non-trivial role in job contact networks. Granovetter's was an important early contribution to what has become a large literature in economics and sociology investigating the role of net-works in labor markets. These include studies that provide more detailed investigations of weak and strong ties (Boorman (1975, Chapter 2, Volume II), Montgomery (1991, Chapter 3, Volume II)), job-contact network formation (Calvó-Armengol (2004, Chapter 5, Volume II)), and empirics of job contract networks (Topa (2001, Chapter 4, Volume II), Bayer, Ross, and Topa (2008, Chapter 8, Volume II)). Another paper (Calvó-Armengol and Jackson (2004, Chapter 6, Volume II)) demonstrates implications of the fact that information about jobs is communicated via social networks: that the employment and wages of agents connected through networks is positively correlated by social distance and across time, that unemployment exhibits duration dependence, and that inequalities across individuals can persist in networked labor markets. The influence of peers on education outcomes has also been extensively studied by economists (e.g., Calvó-Armengol, Patacchini, and Zenou (2009, Chapter 9, Volume II)). As for the labor market, results show that not only peers, but also the structure of the network affects education. We include an important survey on networks and labor markets and related topics by Ioannides and Loury (2004, Chapter 7, Volume II).

The second part of the second volume concerns issues related to development economics. Networks are clearly important in numerous aspects of day-to-day life that affect development. These include the labor markets discussed above, as addressed by the papers of Munshi (2003, Chapter 10, Volume II) and Wahba and Zenou (2005, Chapter 11, Volume II) in this section. In addition, the adoption of new agricultural technologies can be a route out of poverty for many and yet innovations are often adopted slowly (see Bandiera and Rasul (2006, Chapter 12, Volume II)). Risk sharing is also vital to people in the developing world and has a strong network component, as demonstrated by Fafchamps and Lund (2003, Chapter 13, Volume II). Given idiosyncratic risks and the absence of formal credit and insurance markets, the informal exchange of favors and wealth plays an important role in developing economies, and is the study of a number of recent papers.

The third part of the second volume considers the role of social networks in crime. Criminal activity involves peer effects, and crime and delinquency relate to positions in social networks (e.g., see Sutherland (1947)). Indeed, delinquents often have friends who have committed offenses. This suggests that the properties of social networks should be taken into account in understanding peer effects on criminal behavior. The four papers in this section (Glaeser, Sacerdote, and Scheinkman (1996, Chapter 14, Volume II), Calvó-Armengol and Zenou (2004, Chapter 15, Volume II), Ballester, Calvó-Armengol, and Zenou (2010, Chapter 16, Volume II), and Patacchini and Zenou (2012, Chapter 17, Volume II)) are important ones that develop theory that links network structure to criminal behavior, and also work with data to better understand that relationship.

The fourth part of the second volume moves in a different direction. Much of the rest of the volumes applies to social networks and to individuals. Here, nodes are exclusively firms and the analysis is the industrial organization aspect of networks, especially R&D networks. R&D partnerships can be critical to determining the advances made in industries, especially in those with rapid technological development like the pharmaceutical and computer industries. The potentially important role of R&D collaborations has spawned theoretical models of such relationships, such as Goyal and Moraga-González (2001, Chapter 20, Volume II) and Goyal and Joshi (2003, Chapter 19, Volume II). In these models, firms jointly form R&D collaborations to lower their production costs while competing on the product market. The models enable one to ask questions about potential policy interventions that might tax or subsidize various collaborations between firms.

In the fifth part of this volume, we include some of the important papers employing experimental methods, as those methods are becoming increasingly vital, both in the laboratory and the field. Indeed, given the enormous difficulty of identifying social effects in empirical studies, laboratory and field experiments that allow the researcher to control and directly measure how players' behaviors relate to network structure are very useful. Experiments have been used to study strategic network formation, learning in network settings, as well as games played on networks (including the Goeree, Riedl, and Ule (2009, Chapter 24, Volume II) paper included here, and see Kosfeld (2004) and Jackson and Yariv (2011), for more background). For instance, Charness, Corominas-Bosch, and Fréchette (2005, Chapter 22, Volume II) test the predictions of the Corominas-Bosch (2004, Chapter 18, Volume I) trading model, finding support for significant aspects of the theory. Experiments (Goeree et al. (2010, Chapter 25, Volume II)) have also found that play in games is related to social distance in a network, with players being more cooperative or generous to those who are direct friends or close in a social

distance sense compared to those who are more distant in the network. Experiments are also playing an increasing role in the field, and are helping the analysis of network structure in developing economies (e.g., Karlan et al. (2009, Chapter 23, Volume II)).

As we mentioned above, it is always difficult to decide what to include in such an endeavor, and the multitude of applications has meant that we have focused on just a few. However, to illustrate the broad reach of network theory, we close the second volume by including a few papers with other applications. These include international trade (Rauch (1999, Chapter 26, Volume II)), which is an important but still nascent arena for network studies. The recent financial crises have also made it clear that it is vital to understand networks of financial interactions and contagions, and so we include an early paper in that literature by Allen and Gale (2000, Chapter 27, Volume II). We conclude the volume with a paper by Echenique and Fryer (2007, Chapter 28, Volume II) that uses network techniques to develop a new measure of segregation.

We do not attempt to survey the literature here as there are a variety of sources that provide some overview of the various topics covered here (see, for example, Goyal (2007), Jackson (2008), and Jackson and Zenou (2013)). Our purpose is in helping the researcher access a set of classic papers on this subject all in one place, some of which may be difficult to access otherwise.

Author's note

It was our intention to include the Goyal and Vega-Redondo (2005) and Jackson and van den Nouweland (2005) papers but due to the copyright problems we were not able to use them. We apologize to the authors

Notes

- * We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the NSF under grant SES-1155302 and from Microsoft Research New England Lab.
- † Department of Economics, Stanford University, the Santa Fe Institute, and CIFAR. Email: jack-sonm@stanford.edu
- ‡ Department of Economics, Stockholm University and Research Institute of Industrial Economics (IFN), Sweden. Email: yves.zenou@ne.su.se
- There is an older and rich literature in sociology that sheds significant light on these issues, much of
 it through case studies. The newer research that we cover here concerns modeling, with emphasis on
 strategic formation of networks, games on networks, and applied theoretical and econometric methods
 for identification of network effects. These topics are essentially absent from that previous literature.
- 2. An even earlier paper that incorporates some endogeneity of a network is the Boorman (1975, Chapter 2, Volume II) paper in the second volume that examined job contact networks. He allowed people to split a budget of time between strong ties (which take more time per tie, but have higher priority in the passing of information) or weak ties (which are less likely to pass along job information, but take less time to maintain). Although there are a few bugs in the analysis, it was also a model that involved choice in terms of the ties people would like to have.
- 3. See Blume et al. (2011) for a recent survey on this issue.

References

- Blume, L.E., Brock, W.A., Durlauf, S.N. and Y.M. Ioannides (2011), 'Identification of social interactions', In: J. Benhabib, A. Bisin, and M.O. Jackson (Eds.), *Handbook of Social Economics*, Vol. 1B, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publisher, pp. 853–964.
- Goyal, S. (2007), Connections: An Introduction to the Economics of Networks, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Goyal and Vega Redondo (2005), 'Network formation and social coordination', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 50, 178.
- Granovetter, M. (1974), Getting a Job: A Study of Contacts and Careers, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Jackson, M.O. (2008), Social and Economic Networks, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Jackson, M.O. and L. Yariv (2011), 'Diffusion, strategic interaction, and social structure', In: J. Benhabib, A. Bisin and M.O. Jackson (Eds.), *Handbook of Social Economics*, Vol. 1A, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, pp. 645–678.
- Jackson and van den Nouweland (2005), 'Strongly stable networks', Games and Economic Behaviour 51, 420–444.
- Jackson, M.O. and Y. Zenou (2013), 'Games on networks', In: P. Young and S. Zamir (Eds.), Handbook of Game Theory, Vol. 4, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publisher, forthcoming.
- Kosfeld, M. (2004), 'Economic networks in the laboratory: A survey', *Review of Network Economics* 30, 20–42.
- Sutherland, E.H. (1947), Principles of Criminology, fourth edition, Chicago: J.B. Lippincott.